

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /	,	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/909,340	08/11/1997	JERRY WALTER MALCOLM	· .	AT9-97-314	1469	

7590

11/27/2001

ANDREW J DILLON FELSMAN BRADLEY GUNTER & DILLION SUITE 350 LAKEWOOD ON THE PARK 7600B NORTH CAPITAL OF TEXAS HIGHWAY AUSTIN, TX 78731 EXAMINER

MORSE, GREGORY ALLAN

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2167

DATE MAILED: 11/27/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TK

● TR

Interview Summary

Application No. 08/909,340

Applicant(s)

Group Art Unit

Malcolm et al.

Examiner

Greg Morse

2167



(1) Greg Morse (2) Andrew Dillon (4)  Date of Interview Nov 26, 2001  Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2 applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:  None, finality of rejection and timing of examiner's response discussed.  Claim(s) discussed: None  Identification of prior art discussed:  None  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, only other comments:  In view of complicated prosecution history of this application and difficulty in communicating with the office, the finality of the rejection of 8/27/2001 is withdrawn. The rejection itself and its time period for response are unaffected. The	pants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO pe	rsonnel):
Date of Interview	Morse	(3)
Type: a) \( \text{None} \) Telephonic \( \text{b} \) Uideo Conference \( \text{c} \) applicant 2) \( \text{applicant's representative} \)  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \( \text{None} \) Yes \( \text{e} \) \( \text{No. If yes, brief description:} \)  None, finality of rejection and timing of examiner's response discussed.  Claim(s) discussed: \( \text{None} \)  Identification of prior art discussed: \( \text{None} \)  Agreement with respect to the claims \( f \) \( \text{was reached.} \) g) \( \text{was not reached.} \) h) \( \text{N/A}. \)  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  In view of complicated prosecution history of this application and difficulty in communicating with the office, the finality of the rejection of 8/27/2001 is withdrawn. The rejection itself and its time period for response are unaffected. The	w Dillon	(4)
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1] ☐ applicant 2] ☐ applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No. If yes, brief description:  None, finality of rejection and timing of examiner's response discussed.  Claim(s) discussed: None  Identification of prior art discussed:  None  Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h ☐ N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, of any other comments:  in view of complicated prosecution history of this application and difficulty in communicating with the office, the finality of the rejection of 8/27/2001 is withdrawn. The rejection itself and its time period for response are unaffected. The	Nov 26, 2001	
None, finality of rejection and timing of examiner's response discussed.  Claim(s) discussed: None  Identification of prior art discussed:  None  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, of any other comments:  In view of complicated prosecution history of this application and difficulty in communicating with the office, the finality of the rejection of 8/27/2001 is withdrawn. The rejection itself and its time period for response are unaffected. The		applicant's representative]
Identification of prior art discussed:  None  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, any other comments:  in view of complicated prosecution history of this application and difficulty in communicating with the office, the finality of the rejection of 8/27/2001 is withdrawn. The rejection itself and its time period for response are unaffected. The		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, any other comments:  in view of complicated prosecution history of this application and difficulty in communicating with the office, the finality of the rejection of 8/27/2001 is withdrawn. The rejection itself and its time period for response are unaffected. The	discussed: <i>None</i>	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, of any other comments:  in view of complicated prosecution history of this application and difficulty in communicating with the office, the finality of the rejection of 8/27/2001 is withdrawn. The rejection itself and its time period for response are unaffected. The	•	
examiner will search for the full Microsoft Money manual cited as reference.	e of Interview including description of the general na comments: f complicated prosecution history of this application ection of 8/27/2001 is withdrawn. The rejection itse will search for the full Microsoft Money manual cite	ature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or and difficulty in communicating with the office, the finality all and its time period for response are unaffected. The ad as reference.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	, if available, must be attached. Also, where no cop	
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked	it is not necessary for applicant to provide a separat	e record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached	THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP een filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM	section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has ITHIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

GREGORY A. MORSE PRIMARY EXAMINED

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.